Journal of International Commercial Law and Technology

Print ISSN: 1901-8401

Website: https://www.jiclt.com/



Article

AI and Intellectual Property Rights: Emerging Issues

Article History:

Name of Author:

Eric Gonzalez¹, Steven Werner² and Heather Colon³

Affiliation: ¹Senior Research Fellow, Department of Marketing, Transatlantic Management School, Germany ²Research Associate, Department of Commerce, Global Policy School, Brazil ³Head of Department, Department of Marketing, Cape Innovation Institute, South Africa

Corresponding Author: Eric Gonzalez

How to cite this article: Eric Gonzalez, *et, al.* AI and Intellectual Property Rights: Emerging Issues. *J Community Med* 2023;4(1);19-21.

©2023 the Author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0

Abstract: Artificial Intelligence (AI) is radically reshaping the creation, management, and enforcement of intellectual property (IP) worldwide. As AI becomes a powerful agent in both generating creative works and driving inventive processes, pressing legal and ethical questions about authorship, ownership, novelty, liability, and enforceability intensify. This article explores the evolving landscape of AI and intellectual property rights (IPR), focusing on copyright, patent law, policy developments, comparative perspectives, litigation trends, and forward-looking legal frameworks. The analysis draws on recent landmark cases, legislative initiatives, and patent filing trends to map critical challenges and opportunities at this intersection.

Keywords: AI and intellectual property, Authorship and ownership, Copyright and patent law, Legal and ethical challenges, IPR enforcement and litigation,

INTRODUCTION

The rapid development of artificial intelligence systems has unsettled the foundations of intellectual property law by challenging long-held assumptions about human authorship and inventiveness. AI can autonomously compose music, draft literature, design logos, invent technical solutions, and even co-author scientific papers. Yet, global IP regimes were designed around the notion of a human creator or inventor. Questions arise:

- Who owns AI-generated inventions and creative works?
- Are AI outputs eligible for legal protection?
- How can the global IP system adapt while supporting innovation and balancing public interest?
- What ethical and transparency standards must be met?

These questions cut across all IP domains, from copyright and patents to trademarks and trade secrets.

AI AND COPYRIGHT LAW

1. Copyrightability of AI-Generated Works

A critical legal issue is whether AI-generated content—text, music, images, and other outputs—qualifies for copyright protection. The consensus in major jurisdictions is that copyright attaches only to works with human authorship. The U.S.

How to Cite: Eric Gonzalez, et, al. AI and Intellectual Property Rights: Emerging Issues. J Community Med 2023;4(1);19-21.

Copyright Office and courts have repeatedly ruled that AI-generated materials, produced without human creative input, are ineligible for copyright registration^{[1][2][3]}. The famous Thaler case (2023 U.S. District Court, Washington D.C.) and similar rulings worldwide reinforce this principle^{[2][3]}.

Table: International Copyright Criteria for AI Outputs (2025)

Region/Country	Copyright for AI-generated works	Human Authorship Required	Special Exceptions
United States	No	Yes	None
European Union	Mostly no, with rare exceptions	Yes	Some collective works
India	No (under review) ^[4]	Yes	Law reform committee
China	In debate; partial rights considered	Yes	Research use permitted
Israel	Fair use for AI training allowed	Yes	For model training

AI systems trained on existing copyrighted works have also sparked litigation—creators claim infringement if their works are used without permission in model training [3][5].

2. Data Mining, Fair Use, and Transparency

Countries are grappling with how "fair use" or "text and data mining" (TDM) exceptions apply to AI development. The EU now mandates that developers disclose training data sources, while countries like China and France have started restricting unlicensed data mining^[5]. Canada, India, and the U.S. are considering new legislation to clarify these rules^{[4][5]}.

AI AND PATENT LAW

1. Inventorship and Patentability

Patent law universally requires a human inventor. Landmark cases such as the DABUS litigation (UK, Europe, U.S.) held that AI itself cannot be named as an inventor or qualify for patents [1][6][7]. Instead, rights are usually assigned to those who develop, own, or oversee the AI that produced the invention^[7]. [image:1]

Above: Inventorship in AI patents remains tied to human identification.

However, this creates ambiguity when inventions are primarily conceived by autonomous AI systems. Practically, it hampers patenting genuinely novel AI-generated innovations and could stifle socially beneficial technologies if reforms are not enacted [6][7].

2. Risks of "Patent Thickets" and Bias

AI-driven automation in patent filings has led to exponential growth—AI-related patent applications have increased by 33% since 2018 and span 60% of technology subclasses^[8]. This raises concerns about "patent thickets," where numerous overlapping patents block competitors and innovation^[7].

AI also reflects and can perpetuate historical biases present in earlier patent data, risking unfair or uneven access to protection for minority inventors or technologies^[7].

Trademarks, Trade Secrets, and Other IP Issues

- Trademarks: AI-generated logos or brand names create ambiguity about rightful ownership and originality.
- **Trade Secrets**: AI systems increase risk of data leaks and require robust protocols for protecting proprietary algorithms or training data.
- **Dual Role of AI**: AI acts as both a threat (content generation, counterfeiting) and a tool for enforcement (monitoring, detection, automated rights management)^[9].

Emerging Policy and Legislative Responses

- The U.S., Germany, India, China, EU, and others are reviewing IP statutes and have launched public consultations
 or committees to address the AI–IPR nexus^{[4][10]}.
- In India, a high-level committee was recently formed to recommend copyright reforms for AI-generated works[4].
- Policymakers are considering new standards for originality, inventorship, and liability in the context of AI^{[11][7]}.

Graph: Growth in AI-related Patent Filings (2018–2025)

[image:2]

How to Cite: Eric Gonzalez, et, al. AI and Intellectual Property Rights: Emerging Issues. J Community Med 2023;4(1);19-21.

LITIGATION TRENDS

2025 has seen a flurry of lawsuits involving major technology firms and AI companies over copyright infringement, authorship, and fair use in model training [3][5]. Decisions are beginning to converge on key principles:

- Human creativity is essential for copyright.
- AI-generated work derived from or copying protected materials may infringe copyright.
- Reform is needed for greater legal clarity [2][3][5].

Comparative Perspectives

comparative reispectives				
Issue	Civil Law (e.g., EU, China)	Common Law (U.S., U.K., India)	International Law	
Copyright for AI works	No (some exceptions)	No	Varies, mostly no	
Inventorship in patents	Must be human	Must be human	Must be human	
Use of copyrighted data	Heavily regulated	Under review, fair use debated	Fragmented	
Data mining laws	Opt-outs, transparency required	Under review	Fragmented	

Ethical and Social Implications

- **Transparency:** Clearer disclosures on how AI is created and trained are needed to foster trust and curb infringement risks^[7].
- Balance: Legal systems must balance incentives for innovation with creators' rights and the public interest.
- **Bias and Fairness:** Addressing bias in patent and copyright systems remains a central ethical challenge [7].

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

AI is testing the boundaries of intellectual property law at every turn. While legal frameworks worldwide have begun adapting, more harmonized reforms and forward-looking policies are urgently needed. Ensuring protection for genuine human creativity, fostering responsible AI-led innovation, and clarifying accountability and ownership are essential for the future of IPR in the age of AI.

[Visuals & Graphical Illustrations]

• Inventor Attribution in AI Patents (2025)

[image:1]

• AI-Related Patent Filings Worldwide (2018–2025): Steady Growth

[image:2]

REFERENCES

- 1. https://www.diplomacy.edu/blog/ai-generated-content-and-ip-rights-challenges-and-policy-considerations/
- 2. https://sites.usc.edu/iptls/2025/02/04/ai-copyright-and-the-law-the-ongoing-battle-over-intellectual-property-rights/
- 3. https://www.cullenllp.com/blog/the-evolving-role-of-ai-in-copyright-law/
- 4. https://www.medianama.com/2025/05/223-india-ai-copyright-law-committee/
- 5. https://iapp.org/news/a/navigate-2025-rise-of-ai-brings-novel-litigation-copyright-issues
- 6. https://knowlearninghub.com/ai-as-an-inventor-policy-proposals-and-legal-challenges-in-2025/
- 7. https://www.patsnap.com/resources/blog/the-future-of-ai-patents-ethical-challenges-and-opportunities/
- 8. https://ip.com/blog/2025-patent-trends-challenges-and-innovations-shaping-the-future-of-technology/
- 9. https://www.nlunagpur.ac.in/PDF/Publications/CI-Dec-2024/8. AI AND INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY IN THE DIGITAL AGE.pdf
- 10. https://www.dentons.com/en/insights/articles/2025/january/28/ai-and-intellectual-property-rights
- 11. https://www.lawjournal.info/article/141/4-2-31-193.pdf