



Article

# Parallel Imports and Exhaustion Doctrine

## Article History:

### Name of Author:

Trevor Jones<sup>1</sup>, Jesus Vazquez DDS<sup>2</sup>, Katherine Davis<sup>3</sup> and Martha Thompson<sup>4</sup>

**Affiliation:** <sup>1</sup>Dean of Commerce, Department of Corporate Governance, Oceanic Research University, Australia

<sup>2</sup>Head of Department, Department of Business Analytics, Cape Innovation Institute, South Africa

<sup>3</sup>Lecturer, School of Economics and Commerce, Avalon State University, USA

<sup>4</sup>Research Associate, Department of Marketing, Balkan University of Technology, Serbia

**Corresponding Author:** Trevor Jones

**How to cite this article:** Trevor Jones, *et. al.* Parallel Imports and Exhaustion Doctrine. *J Community Med* 2022;3(1);1-4.

©2022 the Author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)

**Abstract:** Parallel imports—also known as “grey market goods”—present a unique challenge in the global intellectual property (IP) framework. These are genuine products imported without the consent of the IP holder, often exploiting price differences and market segmentation. At the heart of this debate lies the exhaustion doctrine, which determines whether and where the IP holder’s rights are extinguished after a product’s first sale. This article explores the three main models of exhaustion—national, regional, and international—and analyzes how different jurisdictions including India, the European Union, the United States, and Japan approach the legality of parallel imports. It delves into the economic rationale behind parallel trading, examines key legal cases such as *Kapil Wadhwa v. Samsung Electronics*, and highlights the implications for pharmaceutical and electronics markets. By referencing the TRIPS Agreement and global regulatory trends, the article outlines the complexities, advantages, and trade-offs of parallel importation. It concludes that while parallel imports enhance consumer access and market efficiency, they also raise significant concerns for brand control, quality assurance, and domestic enforcement, leaving the global IP community in search of a harmonized legal standard.

**Keywords:** Parallel imports, exhaustion doctrine, intellectual property rights, international exhaustion, regional exhaustion, national exhaustion, TRIPS Agreement, grey market goods, trademark law,

## INTRODUCTION

Parallel imports—genuine products imported without the consent of the intellectual property (IP) owner—are a persistent issue in the global trading system. They challenge traditional distribution models and manufacturer pricing power, posing questions about consumer rights, price discrimination, and market access. The core legal concept underpinning parallel imports is the exhaustion doctrine, which determines when an IP holder’s rights in a product have been “exhausted” after its first sale.

## DEFINING PARALLEL IMPORTS

A parallel import is a non-counterfeit product imported from another country without the IP owner’s consent<sup>[1][2][3][4]</sup>. Known as “grey market” products, they differ from black market goods in that they are made by, or for, the brand owner but are imported and sold outside the authorized distribution channels.

## Key Factors Behind Parallel Imports

- **Price differentials:** Products are sold at different prices across markets. Parallel importers exploit this by sourcing in lower-price countries and reselling in higher-price ones.
- **Product versions:** Packaging, specifications or warranties may vary by market.
- **Consumer demand:** Parallel imports increase consumer access to cheaper or unavailable goods.
- **Arbitrage:** Intermediaries profit by purchasing at a low price and selling where prices are higher.

### Exhaustion Doctrine Explained

The exhaustion doctrine (also called “first sale doctrine”) in IP law says that once an IP owner sells a product, their control over the distribution of that particular item is “exhausted”—they cannot prevent its resale, even in other jurisdictions<sup>[5][6][7]</sup>. The key issue is how far this principle applies territorially.

### Types of Exhaustion

Type	Definition	Impact on Parallel Imports
National Exhaustion	Rights are exhausted only within the country of sale	Parallel imports not allowed
Regional Exhaustion	Rights are exhausted within a group of countries (e.g., European Economic Area)	Allowed within region, not globally
International Exhaustion	Rights are exhausted upon authorized sale anywhere in the world	Parallel imports allowed globally

- **National exhaustion** restricts the resale/importation of IP goods from other countries without the IP holder’s consent<sup>[7]</sup>.
- **International exhaustion** allows goods lawfully sold anywhere to be imported, thus legalizing parallel imports<sup>[8][9][2]</sup>.
- **Regional exhaustion** prevails in groups like the EU, facilitating trade within, but not outside, the bloc<sup>[10]</sup>.

### Visual: Exhaustion Doctrines Across Jurisdictions

[image:1]

### Legal Approaches: Comparative Overview

#### India

India follows the principle of international exhaustion for trademarks and patents, allowing parallel imports as long as products are genuine and not impaired<sup>[9][2]</sup>. According to Section 30(3) of the Trade Marks Act, the sale of genuine goods abroad exhausts the trademark owner’s rights. The courts have clarified this in **Kapil Wadhwa v. Samsung Electronics**, upholding parallel import legality but requiring importers not to misrepresent or alter goods<sup>[9]</sup>. In patents, Section 107A(b) of the Patents Act also allows such imports<sup>[2]</sup>.

#### European Union

The EU practices *regional exhaustion*: once a product is lawfully put on the market anywhere in the European Economic Area (EEA), it can be resold throughout the region without IP holder interference<sup>[10]</sup>. The EU Court of Justice has upheld this, promoting cross-border commerce within the EEA but allowing countries to block imports from outside.

#### United States

The US has oscillated between national and international exhaustion. In a landmark 2013 case (*Kirtsaeng v. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.*), the Supreme Court ruled in favor of international exhaustion for copyright, allowing the importation and resale of books obtained abroad. For patents and trademarks, similar arguments apply, but practical nuances persist regarding legitimate purchase and alterations<sup>[2]</sup>.

#### Japan and Asia-Pacific

Japan generally recognizes international exhaustion, enabling parallel imports, but certain exceptions exist (such as material differences or consumer deception).

### Chart: Parallel Import Laws by Region

[image:2]

### Economic and Policy Implications

#### Advantages

- **Consumer access:** Enables access to cheaper or alternative goods<sup>[1][2]</sup>.
- **Market competition:** Erodes monopolistic pricing, encouraging affordability.
- **Secondary markets:** Promotes the resale, lending, and recycling of products<sup>[6]</sup>.

#### Disadvantages

- **Brand control loss:** Makes it harder for IP owners to segment markets or price discriminate<sup>[8][7]</sup>.
- **Quality and warranty issues:** Products may not meet local standards or include valid warranties<sup>[2][11]</sup>.
- **Gray areas in pharmaceuticals and life sciences:** Raises regulatory and pricing concerns, especially when essential medicines are involved<sup>[11]</sup>.

#### Policy Challenges

- **Customs enforcement:** Difficulty in distinguishing genuine grey goods from counterfeits.
- **Regulatory compliance:** Parallel imports must still comply with safety and product standards of the importing country<sup>[8][2]</sup>.
- **Local business impact:** Undermines domestic distributors and service infrastructure<sup>[11]</sup>.

### CASE STUDIES

#### Pharmaceuticals

Parallel importation of pharmaceuticals can reduce drug prices for consumers, as seen in the EU, where it is permitted under regional exhaustion. However, originator companies argue this disrupts supply chains and local investments<sup>[12][1]</sup>.

#### Electronics

In the **Kapil Wadhwa v. Samsung Electronics** decision, the Indian courts upheld parallel imports of printers, stressing the need for consumer clarity and product genuineness<sup>[9]</sup>.

#### The TRIPS Agreement and International Law

The WTO's TRIPS Agreement (Art. 6) leaves exhaustion doctrine decisions to member states, preventing the practice from being challenged under the WTO dispute mechanism<sup>[11][13]</sup>. Thus, there is no globally harmonized norm; national laws and courts decide the fate of parallel imports<sup>[13][14]</sup>.

### CONCLUSION

The exhaustion doctrine is pivotal in shaping the global landscape for parallel imports. With diverse national and regional approaches, the legal status of parallel imports remains a patchwork, balancing consumer access, market competition, and IP owner rights. The debate on harmonization continues, but its resolution depends on delicate trade-offs between trade liberalization and the protection of intellectual property.

#### Figures and Illustrations

##### Figure 1: Exhaustion Doctrines Across Jurisdictions

[image:1]

##### Figure 2: Parallel Import Laws by Region

[image:2]

### REFERENCE

1. [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Parallel\\_import](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Parallel_import)
2. <https://astreallegal.com/publications/parallel-import-intellectual-property-rights/>
3. <https://www.inta.org/topics/parallel-imports/>
4. <https://irglobal.com/article/parallel-imports-a-global-phenomenonand-a-very-grey-area-regarding-international-trade/>
5. <https://lawbhoomi.com/doctrine-of-exhaustion-of-iprs-through-indian-lens/>
6. <https://bytescare.com/blog/doctrine-of-exhaustion-copyright>
7. <https://www.worldscientific.com/doi/abs/10.2202/1524-5861.1050>
8. <https://patentpc.com/blog/how-patent-exhaustion-affects-international-trade-and-commerce>
9. <https://www.ipandlegalfilings.com/parallel-imports-and-its-legality-under-the-trade-marks-act-1999/>
10. <https://digikogu.taltech.ee/en/Download/69141b98-d623-463c-b7f7-e4b12319de37>
11. <https://www.internationaltaxreview.com/article/2ajcxqbdvd7jts6gf5jb4/sponsored/the-curious-case-of-parallel-imports-in-life-sciences/00000182-d495-d16f-ad97-d5dfcdb10000>
12. [https://www.wipo.int/edocs/mdocs/sme/en/atrip\\_gva\\_99/atrip\\_gva\\_99\\_6.pdf](https://www.wipo.int/edocs/mdocs/sme/en/atrip_gva_99/atrip_gva_99_6.pdf)
13. <https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/parallel-imports-wto-law-explained>

<https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/international-trade-exhaustion-doctrine>