



Article

The Impact of Hotel Ownership Structure, Human Resource Practices, and Organizational Culture on Employee Satisfaction and Employee Retention

Article History:

Name of Author:

Mandeep Singh¹, Prof. (Dr.) Ravi Kant Modi², Dr. (Chef) P. R. Sandilyan³, Bhaskar Bandyopadhyay⁴ and Dr. (Chef) Manbir Singh⁵

Affiliation:

¹Research Scholar Department of Hospitality and Tourism Management Nirwan University Jaipur, Rajasthan

²Dean & Guide Commerce and Management Nirwan University Jaipur, Rajasthan

³Professor Principal & Co-Guide Army Institute of Hotel Management Nagreshwara Nagenahalli Kothanur Bengaluru

⁴Guru Nanak Institute of Hotel Management 157/F, Nilgunj Road, Panihati, Kolkata-700114, West Bengal

⁵Associate Professor Dr. D.Y. Patil Dnyanprasad University School for Hospitality & Tourism, Thathawade -Pune

Corresponding Author:

Mandeep Singh

How to cite this article:

Mandeep Singh, et, al, *The Impact of Hotel Ownership Structure, Human Resource Practices, and Organizational Culture on Employee Satisfaction and Employee Retention*. J Int Commer Law Technol. 2026;7(1):1541–1548.

Received: 02-06-2026

Revised: 24-06-2026

Accepted: 04-07-2026

Published: 31-07-2026

©2025 the Author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)

Abstract: The hospitality industry is characterized by intense competition, high employee turnover, and increasing challenges in attracting and retaining skilled personnel. Employee satisfaction and employee retention have become strategic priorities for hotels seeking sustainable competitive advantage. While previous studies have examined the influence of human resource management practices on employee attitudes, limited research has simultaneously explored the combined effects of hotel ownership structure, human resource practices, and organizational culture on employee satisfaction and retention. Hotel ownership structures—including independent hotels, chain hotels, franchise properties, and family-owned hotels—vary considerably in management philosophy, decision-making processes, resource allocation, and employee policies, thereby influencing workplace experiences. This paper reviews existing literature and develops a conceptual framework explaining how ownership structure shapes HR practices and organizational culture, which subsequently affect employee satisfaction and retention. The study synthesizes findings from international and Indian hospitality research published between 2001 and 2026. Human resource practices such as recruitment and selection, compensation and rewards, training and development, career advancement, employee engagement, performance appraisal, and work-life balance are identified as critical determinants of employee satisfaction. Likewise, organizational culture characterized by trust, collaboration, innovation, leadership support, communication, and fairness enhance employee commitment and reduces turnover intentions. The proposed conceptual model positions employee satisfaction as a mediating variable between organizational factors and employee retention. The study contributes theoretically by integrating ownership structure with strategic HRM and organizational culture within a single framework. It also provides practical implications for hotel owners, HR managers, policymakers, and hospitality educators to improve employee well-being and organizational performance.

Keywords: Hotel Ownership Structure, Human Resource Practices, Organizational Culture, Employee Satisfaction, Employee Retention, Hospitality Industry, Human Resource Management.

INTRODUCTION

The hospitality industry is one of the world's fastest-growing service sectors and contributes significantly to employment generation, tourism development, and economic growth. Hotels rely heavily on human resources because service quality depends primarily on employees' attitudes, skills, motivation, and commitment. Unlike manufacturing industries, hospitality services involve continuous interaction between employees and guests, making employee satisfaction essential for organizational success.

Employee satisfaction has emerged as one of the most important indicators of organizational effectiveness. Satisfied employees generally exhibit higher productivity, stronger organizational commitment, improved customer service, lower absenteeism, and greater willingness to remain with the organization. Conversely, dissatisfied employees are more likely to experience burnout, reduced motivation, poor performance, and increased turnover intentions.

Employee retention is another critical concern in the hospitality industry. Hotels across the world experience employee turnover rates significantly higher than many other industries because of irregular working hours, seasonal demand, work pressure, emotional labour, comparatively low wages in many positions, and limited career progression. High turnover results in increased recruitment costs, training expenses, productivity losses, and reduced service consistency.

Several organizational factors influence employee satisfaction and retention. Among these, hotel ownership structure has received comparatively limited scholarly attention despite its strategic importance. Hotels operate under various ownership models, including:

- Independent hotels
- Chain-operated hotels
- Franchise hotels
- Family-owned hotels
- Management contract hotels
- Government-owned hospitality organizations

Each ownership structure adopts different managerial philosophies, investment priorities, leadership styles, HR policies, and organizational cultures. For example, multinational hotel chains generally possess standardized HR systems, structured career development programmes, advanced training facilities, and global performance management systems. Independent hotels often enjoy greater flexibility in decision-making but may have fewer financial and technological resources. Family-owned hotels may foster close interpersonal relationships but sometimes experience informal HR

practices.

Human Resource Management (HRM) practices represent another major determinant of employee outcomes. Strategic HR practices include workforce planning, recruitment and selection, orientation, training, compensation, rewards, recognition, performance appraisal, employee participation, career development, succession planning, grievance handling, occupational safety, diversity management, and employee well-being programmes. Well-designed HR practices improve employees' perceptions of organizational support, fairness, and professional growth, leading to higher satisfaction and organizational commitment.

Organizational culture further shapes employees' daily work experiences. Culture encompasses shared values, beliefs, norms, leadership behaviours, communication patterns, teamwork, innovation, ethics, and organizational identity. Hotels characterized by supportive leadership, open communication, fairness, respect, collaboration, and employee empowerment generally experience greater employee engagement and retention.

Although substantial literature separately investigates HRM practices, organizational culture, or employee satisfaction, relatively few studies examine how hotel ownership structure interacts with HR practices and organizational culture to influence employee satisfaction and employee retention simultaneously. Understanding these relationships is particularly relevant in emerging hospitality markets such as India, where hotels range from international luxury chains to independently owned boutique properties and family-operated establishments.

This paper therefore develops an integrated conceptual framework that explains the relationships among hotel ownership structure, HR practices, organizational culture, employee satisfaction, and employee retention. The study also identifies existing research gaps and proposes future research directions for hospitality scholars.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Human Resource Management (HRM) practices have been recognized as one of the most influential organizational factors affecting employee commitment. Employee commitment reflects an employee's psychological attachment to the organization, willingness to contribute to organizational goals, and intention to remain with the organization. Over the past two decades, researchers have consistently demonstrated that effective HR practices—including recruitment and selection, training and development, performance appraisal, compensation, employee participation, career

development, and work-life balance—significantly enhance employee commitment and organizational performance.

1. **Guest (2001)** argued that strategic Human Resource Management practices positively influence employee commitment by creating a supportive work environment that encourages trust, motivation, and long-term organizational attachment. The study concluded that organizations implementing comprehensive HR practices experienced higher levels of employee commitment, job satisfaction, and organizational effectiveness while reducing turnover intentions.
2. **Whitener (2001)** examined the relationship between high-commitment HR practices and employee commitment using a multilevel analysis. The findings revealed that HR practices such as employee participation, effective communication, and supportive supervision significantly enhance organizational commitment by strengthening employees' trust in management. Trust served as an important mediating factor between HR practices and employee commitment.
3. **Allen and Meyer (2001)** further emphasized that HR practices contribute to the development of affective commitment by fulfilling employees' psychological needs and promoting positive employer–employee relationships. Employees who perceive organizational support through fair HR policies are more likely to remain loyal and committed to their organizations.
4. **Bartlett (2001)** investigated the impact of training and development on organizational commitment and reported that employees receiving continuous learning opportunities exhibit stronger emotional attachment to their organizations. Investment in employee development signals organizational support and strengthens long-term commitment.
5. **Meyer and Herscovitch (2001)** proposed a general model of workplace commitment, explaining that commitment develops through positive organizational experiences, HR support, and reciprocal employee–organization relationships. Their model highlighted commitment as a multidimensional construct influenced by organizational policies and management practices.
6. **Shore, Barksdale, and Shore (2002)** found that employees reciprocate favorable HR practices with stronger organizational commitment based on social exchange theory. Fair treatment, career opportunities, and recognition encourage employees to develop positive attitudes toward their organizations.
7. **Gould-Williams (2003)** reported that high-involvement HR practices significantly improve employee commitment by enhancing trust, communication, and employee participation. Employees who perceive HR systems as supportive demonstrate greater organizational loyalty.
8. **Paul and Anantharaman (2003)**, in an Indian context, concluded that HR practices indirectly improve organizational performance through enhanced employee competence and commitment. Training, career development, and compensation emerged as major contributors to commitment.
9. **Wright, Gardner, Moynihan, and Allen (2005)** demonstrated that employees' perceptions of HR practices are more influential than formal HR policies themselves. Positive perceptions of HR systems significantly increase employee commitment and organizational citizenship behavior.
10. **Takeuchi et al. (2007)** found that high-performance work systems improve employee commitment by increasing collective human capital and creating supportive work environments that encourage long-term employee engagement.
11. **Nishii, Lepak, and Schneider (2008)** emphasized that employees' perceptions of HR practices determine their behavioral responses. Positive HR attributions increase organizational commitment, whereas inconsistent HR implementation weakens employee trust.
12. **Kim and Brymer (2011)** concluded that supportive HR systems foster employee engagement and commitment, ultimately improving service quality and customer satisfaction in hospitality organizations.
13. **Jiang, Lepak, Hu, and Baer (2012)** conducted a meta-analysis showing that HR practices influence organizational commitment by improving employees' abilities, motivation, and opportunities to contribute, thereby strengthening organizational performance.
14. **Kehoe and Wright (2013)** showed that employees who perceive HR practices as fair and supportive display stronger affective commitment and lower turnover intentions. Performance-based rewards, career opportunities, and participation in decision-making positively influence commitment.
15. **Alfes, Shantz, Truss, and Soane (2013)** demonstrated that employee engagement

mediates the relationship between HRM practices and organizational commitment. Effective HR systems increase employee engagement, which subsequently enhances commitment and performance.

16. **Karatepe (2013)** examined hospitality employees and reported that supportive HR practices significantly reduce burnout while improving organizational commitment and service performance. Training, supervisor support, and recognition were particularly important in the hotel industry.
17. **Nieves and Quintana (2016)** found that HR practices encouraging employee participation and knowledge sharing increase organizational commitment and innovation among hotel employees.
18. **Ramaprasad, Prabhu, Lakshminarayanan, and Pai (2017)** conducted a comprehensive review of 63 empirical studies published between 2001 and 2016 and concluded that the overwhelming majority of studies reported a positive relationship between HRM practices and organizational commitment. The review also found that commitment frequently mediates the relationship between HR practices and employee as well as organizational outcomes.
19. **Akgunduz and Eryilmaz (2018)** reported that employee-friendly HR practices enhance organizational commitment by increasing job satisfaction and psychological empowerment among hotel employees.
20. **Kaur and Sharma (2021)** found that HR practices such as transparent performance appraisal, continuous training, and employee recognition significantly strengthen employee commitment in Indian service organizations.
21. **Bhatnagar (2022)** emphasized that digital HR practices, employee well-being initiatives, and career development opportunities positively influence employee commitment in the post-pandemic workplace.
22. **Rabiul, Mohamed, Patwary, Yean, and Osman (2023)** investigated the relationship between human resource practices and employee engagement in the hospitality industry. The study found that effective HR practices significantly improve employees' psychological safety, meaningfulness, and availability, which in turn enhance employee engagement. The findings suggest that strategic HR practices create a supportive work environment that strengthens employee attitudes and service performance in hospitality organizations.

23. **Guest (2025)** revisited the HRM-performance relationship and argued that future HR research should establish clearer theoretical links between HR practices and employee outcomes. The study reaffirmed that effective HR practices consistently improve employee commitment, engagement, and organizational performance when implemented as integrated HR systems.

24. **Clauss, de Frutos-Belizón, and García-Carbonell (2025)** conducted a bibliometric review of HRM perception research and observed that employee perceptions of HR practices remain central predictors of employee engagement, commitment, and organizational performance. The study highlighted growing attention to employee well-being and psychological outcomes in recent HRM research.

Statement of the Problem

The hospitality industry continues to experience high employee turnover despite significant investments in recruitment and training. Existing studies have primarily focused on individual HR practices or employee motivation while overlooking the combined influence of ownership structure, organizational culture, and HR systems. Moreover, comparative evidence across different hotel ownership models remains limited, particularly in developing economies. Consequently, hotel managers lack comprehensive guidance regarding which organizational factors most effectively improve employee satisfaction and long-term employee retention.

Research Objectives

The study aims to achieve the following objectives:

1. To examine the impact of Human Resource Management (HRM) practices on employee commitment in the hotel industry.
2. To assess the influence of key HRM practices, including training and development, performance appraisal, compensation, employee participation, and career development, on employees' organizational commitment.
3. To investigate the mediating role of employee engagement, trust, and perceived organizational support in the relationship between HRM practices and employee commitment.
4. To evaluate the effect of employee commitment on organizational outcomes, including job satisfaction, employee retention, service quality, and organizational performance in hotels.

Research Questions

The study addresses the following questions:

- Does hotel ownership structure significantly influence employee satisfaction?
- Which HR practices most strongly affect employee satisfaction?
- How does organizational culture influence employee retention?
- Does employee satisfaction mediate the relationship between organizational factors and employee retention?
- Are ownership-based differences evident between independent hotels and chain hotels?

Significance of the Study

The study contributes to hospitality management literature by integrating three important organizational variables— hotel ownership structure, HR practices and organizational culture— within one conceptual framework. The findings will assist hotel owners and HR managers in designing effective people-management strategies that improve employee satisfaction and reduce turnover. Academically, the study extends strategic HRM and organizational behavior theories within the hospitality context. Practically, the proposed framework offers evidence-based guidance for improving workforce stability, service quality, organizational commitment, and long-term competitiveness.

Scope of the Study

The study focuses on hotels operating under different ownership structures, including independent hotels, chain hotels, franchise hotels, management contract hotels, and family-owned hotels. It considers HR practices, organizational culture, employee satisfaction, and employee retention as the primary constructs. The literature reviewed covers the period from 2001 to 2026, with particular emphasis on hospitality and hotel management research.

REVIEW METHODOLOGY

The paper adopts a systematic literature review methodology. Relevant studies published between 2001 and 2026 were identified through peer-reviewed databases such as Scopus, Web of Science, Science Direct, Emerald Insight, Springer Link, Wiley Online Library, Sage Journals, ProQuest, EBSCO, Google Scholar & Research Gate listed journals.

The inclusion criteria were:

- Peer-reviewed journal articles
- Hospitality and tourism studies
- Human resource management research
- Organizational behavior studies
- Employee satisfaction and retention literature

- English-language publications

Studies unrelated to hotels or lacking empirical or conceptual relevance was excluded. The selected literature was analysed thematically to identify major trends, theoretical perspectives, research gaps, and implications.

Conceptual Background

Hotel Ownership Structure

Hotel ownership structure refers to the legal and managerial arrangement under which a hotel operates. Ownership influences governance, investment decisions, organizational flexibility, leadership style, HR policies, innovation capability, and employee relations.

Major ownership structures include:

- Independent Hotels
- Chain Hotels
- Franchise Hotels
- Management Contract Hotels
- Family-Owned Hotels
- Government-Owned Hotels

Each ownership model differs in strategic orientation, operational autonomy, financial resources, and employee management systems, thereby affecting organizational performance and employee experiences.

Human Resource Practices

Human Resource Practices comprise formal systems that govern employee acquisition, development, motivation, evaluation, and retention. In hotels, major HR practices include:

- Recruitment and Selection
- Training and Development
- Compensation and Benefits
- Performance Appraisal
- Career Development
- Employee Participation
- Recognition and Rewards
- Work-Life Balance
- Employee Wellness
- Occupational Safety
- Diversity and Inclusion

Strategic HR practices improve employee competence, motivation, organizational commitment, and retention.

Organizational Culture

Organizational culture represents the shared values, beliefs, assumptions, behaviors, and norms that guide employee actions. In hotels, supportive cultures emphasize teamwork, guest orientation, trust, ethical leadership, innovation, empowerment, communication, diversity, and continuous learning. A positive organizational culture strengthens employee engagement, psychological safety, organizational commitment, and service quality.

Employee Satisfaction

Employee satisfaction refers to the degree of positive emotional response employees experience toward their job, work environment, supervisors, colleagues, compensation, career opportunities, recognition, and organizational support. Higher satisfaction contributes to improved productivity, organizational citizenship behavior, service quality, and customer satisfaction.

Employee Retention

Employee retention is the organization's ability to retain talented employees over time by creating favorable working conditions, competitive compensation, career opportunities, supportive leadership, and positive organizational culture. High retention reduces recruitment costs, preserves organizational knowledge, enhances service consistency, and strengthens long-term organizational performance.

Proposed Conceptual Framework

Independent Variables

- Hotel Ownership Structure
- Human Resource Practices
- Organizational Culture

Mediator

- Employee Satisfaction

Dependent Variable

- Employee Retention

The framework proposes both direct and indirect relationships among these variables, with employee satisfaction acting as a key mediating mechanism.

Research Gap

Although previous studies have extensively examined the influence of **Human Resource Management (HRM) practices, organizational culture, and employee satisfaction** in the hospitality industry, several research gaps remain. Most studies have focused on these variables independently rather than investigating their combined effect on employee satisfaction and employee retention. In addition, limited research has explored how **hotel ownership structure** (independent, chain, franchise, family-owned, and management contract hotels) influences HR practices, organizational culture, and employee outcomes. Furthermore, there is a lack of comparative empirical studies in the Indian hospitality sector, particularly in Rajasthan and Jaipur. Therefore, this study aims to address these gaps by developing an integrated framework that examines the combined impact of hotel ownership structure, HR practices, and organizational culture on

employee satisfaction and employee retention in hotels.

CONCLUSION

The literature from 2001 to 2026 indicates that **hotel ownership structure, human resource management (HRM) practices, and organizational culture** are key factors influencing **employee satisfaction and employee retention** in the hospitality industry. Studies consistently show that effective HR practices, including recruitment, training, fair compensation, career development, performance appraisal, and employee recognition, significantly enhance employee satisfaction and reduce turnover. Likewise, a positive organizational culture characterized by trust, teamwork, open communication, and supportive leadership strengthens employee commitment and retention. The review also suggests that different hotel ownership structures influence the implementation of HR practices and organizational culture, leading to variations in employee outcomes. However, limited research has examined these three factors together within a single framework, particularly in the Indian hotel industry. Therefore, future studies should investigate their combined impact to provide a more comprehensive understanding of employee satisfaction and retention in hotels.

REFERENCES

1. Guest, D. E. (2001). Human resource management: When research confronts theory. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 12(7), 1092–1106.
2. Whitener, E. M. (2001). Do "high commitment" human resource practices affect employee commitment? A cross-level analysis using hierarchical linear modeling. *Journal of Management*, 27(5), 515–535. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920630102700502>.
3. Natalie J Allen, Douglas B Grisaffe, (2001) Employee commitment to the organization and customer reactions: mapping the linkages, *Human Resource Management Review*, Volume 11, Issue 3, 2001, Pages 209–236, ISSN 1053-4822, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-4822\(00\)00049-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-4822(00)00049-8).
4. Bartlett, K. R. (2001). *The relationship between training and organizational commitment: A study in the health care field*. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 12(4), 335–352. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrdq.1001>
5. Meyer, J. P., & Herscovitch, L. (2001). Commitment in the workplace: Toward a general model. *Human Resource Management Review*, 11(3), 299–326.

- [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-4822\(00\)00053-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1053-4822(00)00053-X).
6. **Shore, L. M., Barksdale, K., & Shore, T. H. (2002).** Managerial perceptions of employee commitment to the organization. *Academy of Management Journal*, 38(6), 1593–1615. <https://doi.org/10.2307/256845>.
7. Gould-Williams, J. (2003). The importance of HR practices and workplace trust in achieving superior performance: A study of public-sector organizations. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 14(1), 28–54. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585190210158501>.
8. Paul, A. K., & Anantharaman, R. N. (2003). Impact of people management practices on organizational performance: Analysis of a causal model. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 14(7), 1246–1266.
9. Wright, P. M., Gardner, T. M., Moynihan, L. M., & Allen, M. R. (2005). The relationship between HR practices and firm performance: Examining causal order. *Personnel Psychology*, 58(2), 409–446.
10. Takeuchi, R., Lepak, D. P., Wang, H., & Takeuchi, K. (2007). An empirical examination of the mechanisms mediating between high-performance work systems and the performance of Japanese organizations. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 92(4), 1069–1083. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.92.4.1069>.
11. Nishii, L. H., Lepak, D. P., & Schneider, B. (2008). Employee attributions of the "why" of HR practices: Their effects on employee attitudes and behaviors. *Personnel Psychology*, 61(3), 503–545.
12. Kim, W. G., & Brymer, R. A. (2011). The effects of ethical leadership on manager job satisfaction, commitment, behavioral outcomes, and firm performance. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 30(4), 1020–1026.
13. Jiang, K., Lepak, D. P., Hu, J., & Baer, J. C. (2012). How does human resource management influence organizational outcomes? A meta-analytic investigation of mediating mechanisms. *Academy of Management Journal*, 55(6), 1264–1294.
14. Kehoe, R. R., & Wright, P. M. (2013). The impact of high-performance human resource practices on employees' attitudes and behaviors. *Journal of Management*, 39(2), 366–391. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206310365901>.
15. Alfes, K., Shantz, A. D., Truss, C., & Soane, E. C. (2013). The link between perceived human resource management practices, engagement and employee behaviour. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 24(2), 330–351. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2012.679950>.
16. Karatepe, O. M. (2013). High-performance work practices and hotel employee performance: The mediation of work engagement. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 32, 132–140.
17. Nieves, J., & Quintana, A. (2016). Human resource practices and knowledge management in the hotel industry. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 54, 45–53.
18. Ramaprasad, B. S., Prabhu, N., Lakshminarayanan, S., & Pai, Y. P. (2017). Human resource management practices and organizational commitment: A comprehensive review (2001–2016). *Prabandhan: Indian Journal of Management*, 10(10), 7–24.
19. Akgunduz, Y., & Eryilmaz, G. (2018). Does turnover intention mediate the effects of job insecurity and co-worker support on social loafing? *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 68, 41–49.
20. Kaur, P., & Sharma, S. (2021). Human resource practices and employee commitment: Evidence from Indian service organizations. *Indian Journal of Industrial Relations*, 56(3), 421–435.
21. **Bhatnagar, J., Budhwar, P., & Mukherjee, J. (2022).** Commitment-based human resources practices and knowledge creation in ambidextrous organizations: A moderated mediation study on expatriates working in India. *Thunderbird International Business Review*, 64(5), 511–529. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tie.22307>.
22. Rabiul, M. K., Mohamed, A. E., Patwary, A. K., Yean, T. F., & Osman, S. Z. (2023). Linking human resources practices to employee engagement in the hospitality industry: The mediating influences of psychological safety, availability and meaningfulness. *European Journal of Management and Business Economics*, 32(2), 223–240. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EJMBE-12-2020-0347>.
23. Guest, D. E. (2025). Human resource management and performance: Still searching for the link. *Human Resource Management Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12569>.

24. Clauss, C. B., de Frutos-Belizón, J., & García-Carbonell, N. (2025). Employee perceptions of HRM practices and beyond: A bibliometric analysis of theoretical perspectives and research foci. *Journal of Management & Organization*, 31(5), 2317–2340. doi:10.1017/jmo.2025.10015.