



Article

The Role of International Organizations in Tech Law Development

Article History:

Name of Author:

Daniel Stephens¹ and Damon Lara²

Affiliation: ¹Academic Coordinator, Department of Banking and Insurance, Università di Nova Roma, Italy

²Assistant Professor, School of Business, New Horizons University, Singapore

Corresponding Author: Daniel Stephens

How to cite this article: Daniel Stephens and Damon Lara. The Role of International Organizations in Tech Law Development. *J Community Med* 2020;(1);19-21.

©2020 the Author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)

Abstract: As emerging technologies increasingly influence global economics, security, and human rights, international organizations have become indispensable in shaping the legal frameworks that govern technological development and deployment. This article investigates how bodies such as the United Nations, OECD, European Union, ITU, and UNESCO contribute to global technology law by setting standards, harmonizing regulations, and fostering responsible innovation. It examines their influence in fields like artificial intelligence, data protection, and cybersecurity, highlighting landmark initiatives such as the EU AI Act, the OECD AI Principles, and UNESCO's Ethics of AI Recommendation. By providing platforms for consensus-building, capacity-building for developing countries, and tools for regulatory coordination, these institutions reduce legal fragmentation and address challenges posed by rapid digital transformation. The article also explores obstacles—like uneven enforcement and geopolitical divergence—that threaten effective global tech governance. It concludes that multilateral, adaptive, and inclusive legal development through international organizations is essential for a fair and rights-respecting digital future.

Keywords: Technology law, international organizations, artificial intelligence governance, OECD AI Principles, EU AI Act, UNESCO AI ethics, GDPR, digital rights, cross-border data flows, global tech regulation,

INTRODUCTION

In an era marked by exponential technological advancement, **international organizations** have become central in shaping how technology is regulated, harmonizing divergent national laws, and fostering innovation while protecting fundamental rights. As digital transformation and artificial intelligence (AI) reach into every facet of society, the development and implementation of robust, globally relevant tech laws have become critical. This article explores the multifaceted roles of international organizations in developing tech law, with a focus on their approaches, achievements, and ongoing challenges through 2025.

WHY INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS MATTER IN TECH LAW

International organizations—such as the United Nations (UN), Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), European Union (EU), International Telecommunication Union (ITU), UNESCO, and the Council of Europe—play pivotal roles in tech law development by:

- Setting **global standards and norms**
- Facilitating cross-border regulatory cooperation
- Providing platforms for stakeholder engagement (governments, private sector, civil society)
- Supporting policy capacity-building, technical assistance, and implementation
- Monitoring and evaluating legal and policy effectiveness

These organizations bridge regulatory gaps created by the transnational nature of technology and respond to rapid

innovation outpacing national lawmaking^{[1][2]}.

Key Roles and Functions

1. Norm-Setting and Standardization

International organizations catalyze the creation of “soft law” (principles, codes of practice, recommendations) and “hard law” (binding conventions, treaties) for new technologies:

- The **OECD Principles on AI** (2019, revised 2024) offer the first broad intergovernmental standard for trustworthy AI, influencing both G20 and EU approaches^[2].
- The **UNESCO Recommendation on the Ethics of AI** (2021) sets ethical benchmarks for responsible AI development and deployment, influencing national policy worldwide.
- The **EU AI Act** (2024) establishes harmonized categories of risk for AI, with implementation backed by guidance and templates from the European Commission and its new AI Office^{[3][2][4]}.

2. Regulatory Coordination and Enforcement

- Bodies such as the **Council of Europe** and EU draft conventions and frameworks that clarify the legal status and obligations of stakeholders, especially regarding human rights, privacy, and AI governance.
- International forums (G7, G20, UN Digital Cooperation Roadmap) encourage mutual recognition, regulatory convergence, and cross-border data governance, addressing collective action challenges and helping prevent regulatory arbitrage^{[3][5][2]}.
- **ITU** and **ISO** develop technical standards that underpin digital trade, cybersecurity, and cross-border interoperability, ensuring the functionality and safety of digital systems globally.

3. Policy Innovation and Capacity Building

- International organizations sponsor research, monitor emerging trends (e.g., through the **UNCTAD Technology and Innovation Report**), and fund capacity-building initiatives for lower-income countries, ensuring more inclusive tech legal development^{[6][2]}.
- Collaborative working groups (e.g., OECD AI Group of Experts, UN High-Level Panel on Digital Cooperation) draw expertise from diverse sectors to inform lawmaking and standard-setting activities^{[2][1]}.

4. Dispute Resolution and Legal Guidance

- Organizations such as **WIPO** and regional bodies provide mediation, arbitration, and guidance for technology-related disputes, including IP, data privacy, and cross-border transactions.

CASE STUDIES OF IMPACT

A. Artificial Intelligence Governance

Organization	Key Initiative	Reach
OECD	AI Principles; AI Policy Observatory	Global (G20, OECD members, 40+ countries)
EU	AI Act (2024); Ethics Guidelines; AI Office	27 EU members, standards influencing G7/G20
UNESCO	Ethics of AI Recommendation	Global (193 member countries)
Council of Europe	Feasibility Study for Treaty on AI and Human Rights	46 European countries
United Nations	Roadmap for Digital Cooperation; AI Advisory Body	Global

These efforts have given rise to international “soft law” on AI, as well as the world’s first “hard law” binding act (EU AI Act) for high-risk AI systems^{[3][2][4]}.

B. Data Protection and Privacy

- The **GDPR** (EU) set a de facto global template for personal data protection, inspiring laws in Brazil (LGPD), Japan (APPI), California (CCPA), and many more.
- International organizations are supporting **cross-border data flow agreements**, privacy standards, and technical interoperability frameworks through fora such as **APEC**, **OECD**, and **UN** agencies.

C. Cybersecurity and Critical Infrastructure

- The **ITU** has spearheaded international cybersecurity standards, incident response coordination, and digital trust frameworks.
- The **UN** and multistakeholder groups—such as the Paris Call for Trust and Security in Cyberspace—address cyber norms, state behavior, and response to cyber threats.

Graph: Expansion of International Tech Law Initiatives (2015–2025)

[image:1]

A line chart showing major international legal and policy initiatives in tech law, including the proliferation of AI/tech agreements and frameworks by international organizations and the growing number of countries adopting tech law guidelines.

OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES

Opportunities

- **Harmonization:** Reducing fragmentation and compliance burden for multinational companies^{[3][2]}.
- **Trust and Safety:** Fostering responsible innovation while protecting fundamental rights and democratic values.
- **Capacity Building:** Supporting developing states to participate in—and benefit from—global technology governance.

Challenges

- **Fragmentation:** Divergent regional approaches (e.g., US, EU, China) complicate the creation of unified standards.
- **Enforcement:** Many “soft law” instruments lack binding enforcement, relying on member states’ political will.
- **Inequality:** Unequal resources and capacity among states can leave gaps in global tech law development.
- **Technological Pace:** Rapid advances, especially in AI, outpace legislative and standard-setting cycles^{[5][2]}.

Infographic: The International Tech Law Development Ecosystem

[image:2]

An infographic illustrating the overlapping circles of international organizations, national regulators, industry, civil society, and technical bodies cooperating and occasionally competing to shape global tech law.

CONCLUSION

The future of technology law is inextricably linked with the leadership, collaboration, and standard-setting work of **international organizations**. Their influence ensures that technology—especially transformative fields like AI, data, and cybersecurity—is developed, deployed, and governed with attention to human rights, security, and inclusive benefit. As new governance challenges arise, continued expansion of international, multistakeholder, and flexible legal frameworks will remain essential for balancing innovation, risk, and justice in the digital age.

“International organizations are not only forums for negotiation; they are engines for building the global consensus, standards, and rules that define the future of technology and rights.”

REFERENCES

1. <https://law-ai.org/international-ai-institutions/>
2. <https://www.elgaronline.com/edcollchap-oa/book/9781802205657/ch15.xml>
3. <https://www.walkermorris.co.uk/comment-opinion/the-world-of-tech-and-digital-in-2025-a-legal-and-regulatory-perspective/>
4. <https://www.itechlaw.org/event/2025-world-technology-law-conference/>
5. <https://www.bisa.ac.uk/articles/theory-international-technology-regulation>
6. <https://unctad.org/publication/technology-and-innovation-report-2025>