



Article

Technology Transfer and Legal Barriers

Article History:

Name of Author:

Julie Anderson¹, Kristina Smith²,
Robin Davis³ and Kurt Ruiz⁴

Affiliation: ¹Lecturer, Department of Marketing, Nairobi Metropolitan University, Kenya

²Research Associate, Department of Banking and Insurance, Nairobi Metropolitan University, Kenya

³Research Associate, Faculty of Business Studies, Holland International University, Netherlands

⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Banking and Insurance, Danube International University, Austria

Corresponding Author: Julie Anderson

How to cite this article: Julie Anderson, *et al.* Technology Transfer and Legal Barriers. *J Community Med* 2020;(1);29-31.

©2020 the Author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)

Abstract: Technology transfer—moving innovative knowledge, processes, or products across institutional or national boundaries—is a key driver of economic and technological advancement. Yet, legal and regulatory barriers, including intellectual property (IP) constraints, regulatory complexities, sectoral restrictions, institutional weaknesses, and global policy gaps, can significantly inhibit the efficient flow of technology. This article explores the types, impacts, and evolving landscape of legal barriers to technology transfer globally, with data, real-world examples, and illustrations.

Keywords: Technology transfer, Legal barriers, Intellectual property rights, Regulatory frameworks, Global innovation policy,

INTRODUCTION

Technology transfer is central to progress in emerging and developed economies alike—bridging R&D with markets, academia with industry, and North with South. Transfers take place through licensing, joint ventures, foreign direct investment, research collaborations, and direct commercial sales. However, successful technology transfer hinges not just on innovation but also on a robust legal and regulatory environment. Different stakeholder interests, national priorities, and complex IP regimes create a challenging legal matrix for both technology providers and recipients.

KEY LEGAL BARRIERS TO TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER

1. Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) Constraints

- **Weak IP Protection and Enforcement:** Countries lacking strong patent, copyright, or trade secret regimes deter foreign firms from sharing advanced technologies for fear of infringement or IP theft^{[1][2][3]}.
- **Complex Overlapping Rights:** Transfers may involve combinations of patents, copyrights, trademarks, and trade secrets (e.g., software, pharma), complicating negotiations and increasing transaction costs^{[4][3]}.

- **High Licensing Costs & Patent Thickets:** Advanced or platform technologies often come with high entry fees or a "thicket" of overlapping rights, excluding SMEs or low-resource regions from participation^{[1][5]}.

2. Regulatory Complexity and Sectoral Restrictions

- **Multiple Regulatory Authorities:** Overlapping or conflicting laws—such as FDI limits, taxation, export controls, and antitrust rules—can make deals slow, risky, or unviable^{[1][6][3]}.
- **Sectoral Prohibitions:** Sectors deemed strategic (defense, telecoms, infrastructure, biotech) are often restricted or require extensive screening/approval, limiting transfer potential^{[1][7]}.
- **Export Controls & Trade Sanctions:** Host and source countries may enforce strict controls on sensitive or dual-use technologies, complicating cross-border movement of innovations^[7].

[image:1]

Fig 1: Prevalence of FDI and sectoral restrictions impacting technology transfer (2025)

3. Institutional and Legal Infrastructure Barriers

- **Inefficient Judiciary:** Slow, unpredictable court processes, high fees, and weak contract enforcement discourage technology sharing, especially in developing economies^{[2][8]}.
- **Corruption and Lack of Rule of Law:** Systemic legal insecurity, judicial bias, or corruption can make foreign partners reluctant to invest or share proprietary know-how^{[2][9]}.
- **Inadequate Contractual Protection:** Lack of mechanisms like non-disclosure agreements (NDAs) and clear ownership definition increases risk to technology providers^{[2][10]}.

4. Compliance, Data, and Documentation Barriers

- **Data Privacy & Cyber-Security:** Stringent data localization/sovereignty or sector-specific privacy laws add new compliance burdens for digital technology transfer^{[1][3]}.
- **Documentation Gaps:** Inadequate alignment, poor IP registry management, and lost development/patent records create future litigation and value loss^{[1][1]}.
- **Administrative Burden:** Complex, duplicative, or ever-changing compliance requirements, especially for international deals, slow technology flows^{[3][6]}.

5. Market Access and Competition Rules

- **Forced Technology Transfer:** Some countries require foreign entities to share proprietary technology as a condition for market access, creating complex ethical and legal dilemmas as well as WTO scrutiny^[12].

6. Trade Policy and Sanctions

- **Trade Sanctions and Export Restrictions:** Limits on technology exports to certain countries (e.g., AI, semiconductors, biotechnology) for security or geopolitical reasons disrupt global supply chains and knowledge flows^[7].

EXAMPLES AND SECTORAL IMPACT

- **Clean Energy:** IP restrictions and export controls can limit the transfer of critical green tech to developing countries—a major hurdle for climate and sustainability goals^[2].
- **Pharmaceuticals:** Patent thickets and regulatory uncertainty in generics slow the spread of affordable drugs^{[5][3]}.
- **ICT and Software:** Open-source licenses, patent pools, and data transfer regulations shape the pace and direction of technology adoption^{[1][4]}.

Data & Trends

[image:2]

Fig 2: Recent trends in technology transfer disputes and legal claims (2021–2025)

- Disputes over technology transfer agreements and IP litigation have risen especially in Asia and Africa, driven by digital tech and health sector growth.
- Over 56% of commercial codebases examined in 2025 revealed IP license conflicts, up from 34% in 2022^[13].
- Countries with higher institutional quality see more inbound technology transfer and fewer disputes, reinforcing the importance of legal certainty.

INTERNATIONAL APPROACHES & MITIGATIONS

Harmonization and Best Practices

- **International Treaties & IP Accords:** TRIPS (WTO), WIPO instruments, and regional agreements (such as the EU) work toward harmonizing certain technology transfer standards but leave much to national discretion.

- **Model Contracts and Licensing Guides:** The use of standardized legal templates, NDAs, clear dispute clauses, and robust due diligence procedures helps de-risk transactions^{[2][10]}.
- **Capacity Building:** Supporting legal infrastructure, professional training, and digital documentation improves ability to manage and enforce technology transfer^{[2][6][9]}.
- **Transparent Antitrust Oversight:** Ensures that IP licensing or transfer does not create monopolies or unduly restrain competition^{[1][3]}.

CASE STUDIES

- **NASA Technology Transfer:** Well-structured IP management enabled successful licensing and commercialization of public R&D globally, balancing exclusivity and access^[3].
- **Cross-Border Joint Ventures:** Joint R&D in the EU/India/China, with carefully negotiated agreements over IP ownership and enforcement, exemplify best practices for mitigating legal uncertainty^[3].

Future Directions

- **Smart Contracts and Blockchain:** Adoption is growing for automated compliance and transparent IP management.
- **Open Innovation & Collaboration:** Patent pools and open-source models increasingly used in rapidly evolving tech sectors like AI, biotech, and renewables, reducing legal bottlenecks^{[5][14]}.
- **Proactive Policy Design:** Countries investing in stronger IP enforcement, streamlined regulatory approval, and legal harmonization are realizing larger gains from technology transfer—especially where aligned with national innovation strategies.

CONCLUSION

Legal barriers, while protective of national and proprietary interests, can often stifle innovation, restrict access to vital technologies, and increase cross-border transaction risks. Achieving a balance—between incentivizing inventors, facilitating equitable access, and ensuring fair competition—demands constant reform, international negotiation, and adaptive legal tools. Only with robust IP governance, institutional transparency, and harmonized regulation can the full benefits of global technology transfer be realized.

Figures & Graphs

- Fig 1: Prevalence of FDI and sectoral restrictions impacting technology transfer (2025)
- Fig 2: Recent trends in technology transfer disputes and legal claims (2021–2025)

[image:1]

[image:2]

REFERENCES

1. <https://thelegalschool.in/blog/technology-transfer-in-india>
2. <https://www.cigionline.org/documents/1098/PB No.91.pdf>
3. <https://www.nlunagpur.ac.in/PDF/Publications/CI-Dec-2023/10.Spruha Joshi.pdf>
4. <https://aslgate.com/the-importance-of-intellectual-property-rights-in-technology-transfer/>
5. <https://apctt.org/sites/default/files/2020-07/Dr.D.YogeswaraRao1.pdf>
6. <https://ksandk.com/information-technology/technology-transfer-regulations-in-india/>
7. <https://oneunionsolutions.com/blog/the-impact-of-trade-sanctions-on-technology-transfer-and-it-equipment-exports/>
8. <https://unfccc.int/sites/default/files/bubu.pps>
9. https://dost.hochiminhcity.gov.vn/documents/595/Technology_Transfer_Barriers_and_Challenges_Faced_by_RD_Organisations.pdf
10. <https://michaedwards.uk/technology-transfer-and-licensing-legal-implications-and-best-practices/>
11. <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/addressing-top-tech-transfer-challenges-kindeva-drug-delivery-5ngrc>
12. https://www.oecd.org/en/publications/international-technology-transfer-policies_7103eabf-en.html
13. <https://lumenci.com/blogs/technology-transfer-definition/>
14. <https://www.wipo.int/en/web/technology-transfer>