



Article

Choice of Law in Multi-Jurisdictional Contracts

Article History:

Name of Author:

Amy Ochoa¹ and Michael Carr²

Affiliation: ¹Professor, Department of Commerce, Alexandria School of Governance, Egypt

²Head of Department, Faculty of Accounting and Finance, Arctic Circle University, Norway

Corresponding Author: Amy Ochoa

How to cite this article: Amy Ochoa and Michael Carr. Choice of Law in Multi-Jurisdictional Contracts. *J Community Med* 2020;(1);64-66.

©2020 the Author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>)

Abstract: The surge in global commerce, cross-border investments, and digital transactions has made multi-jurisdictional contracts a staple in modern business. Central to their enforceability and predictability are "choice of law" provisions—clauses specifying which country's legal system governs the contract. This article explores the core legal principles, practical implications, drafting considerations, international rules, and recent trends that shape the use and interpretation of choice of law in multi-jurisdictional deals, complemented by illustrative graphs and tables.

Keywords: Choice of Law, Multi-Jurisdictional Contracts, Enforceability, Cross-Border Transactions, Legal Systems,

INTRODUCTION

In any contract spanning more than one legal system, uncertainty over applicable laws can create risk and procedural hurdles. Laws vary sharply in terms of contract interpretation, remedies, litigation procedures, and enforceability. As a result, the **choice of law clause** has emerged as a vital contractual tool, providing clarity on the governing legal framework and mitigating the unpredictability inherent in cross-border business.^{[1][2]}

WHAT IS A CHOICE OF LAW CLAUSE?

A choice of law clause is a contractual provision designating which jurisdiction's laws will govern the contract's validity, interpretation, performance, and enforcement. In international or cross-state agreements, these clauses reduce ambiguity and ensure that both parties understand the operative legal standards applying to their relationship.^[2]

Example

"This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of [Jurisdiction]..."^{[2][3]}

Why Choice of Law Matters

- **Predictability:** Ensures both parties know the rules that will govern their contract.
- **Conflict Reduction:** Avoids disputes over which legal system applies.
- **Dispute Efficiency:** Streamlines legal proceedings and saves costs.
- **Commercial Confidence:** Encourages cross-border business by reducing legal uncertainty.^{[4][2][5]}

Table: Typical Effects of Choice of Law in Multi-Jurisdictional Contracts

With Clause	Without Clause
Predictable, unified approach	Disputes over applicable law
Lower legal risk	Uncertainty and higher costs
Greater enforceability	Forum shopping and delays

Legal Principles Underpinning Choice of Law

1. Party Autonomy

Global legal systems increasingly support the principle of **party autonomy**—the freedom of contracting parties to choose the governing law, regardless of their connection to the selected jurisdiction.^{[4][1][6]} This autonomy is enshrined in international instruments such as:

- **Hague Principles on Choice of Law in International Commercial Contracts**
- **UNIDROIT Principles**
- **Rome I Regulation (EU context)**

However, this freedom is not unlimited: overriding mandatory rules or public policy (ordre public) of the forum country may restrict application of the chosen law.^[6]

2. Express and Implied Choice

A choice may be **express** (clearly stated) or **implied** (inferred from contract terms or circumstances). Courts generally look for a clear, unambiguous intention to select a particular law.^{[6][7][8]}

3. Absence of a Choice Clause

If a contract omits a choice of law clause, courts apply conflict of laws principles—often the law of the closest connection (e.g., the place of performance, or the domicile of the party effecting the characteristic performance).^{[9][10]}

Drafting and Enforceability Considerations

- Clearly identify both the **governing law** (substantive rules) and the **jurisdiction** (the court or arbitration forum).
- Specify the extent of choice: some clauses apply to the entire contract; others to only specific terms.
- Consider enforceability: certain jurisdictions (e.g., consumer law regimes) may refuse to apply chosen law if perceived as prejudicial to weaker parties or contrary to local mandatory provisions.^{[9][11]}

International Conventions and Model Rules

- **Hague Principles:** Allow parties to choose both national laws and, in some contexts, "rules of law" (like the UNIDROIT Principles), boosting flexibility and harmonization.^{[6][1]}
- **Rome I Regulation (EU):** Codifies party autonomy within the EU with exceptions for mandatory protections.
- **New York Convention (Arbitration):** Enforces foreign arbitral awards subject to parties' choice of law and forum.

Special Issues in Multi-Jurisdictional Contracts

1. Multiple Contracts or Documents

Where transactions are documented across several contracts, each may contain different or conflicting choice of law provisions. It is essential that such clauses are carefully harmonized and cross-referenced to avoid jurisdictional confusion and parallel litigation.^[12]

2. Statutory and Public Policy Restrictions

Some laws may override party choice in areas like consumer protection, employment, insurance, or anti-money laundering, applying the local law regardless of contractual terms.^{[6][11]}

3. Severability

Modern legal systems increasingly uphold the separability of choice of law clauses—meaning their validity is not affected even if the rest of the contract is challenged or declared void.^[13]

Graph: Factors Influencing Choice of Law Selection in Multi-Jurisdictional Contracts

[image:1]

Caption: The graph shows leading factors considered when parties select a governing law for cross-border agreements.

— Business familiarity with legal framework
 — Enforceability in target jurisdictions
 — Established precedent and case law
 — Costs and procedural efficiency
 — Neutrality

Sample and Model Clauses

- “This agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of England and Wales.”
- “In the event of a dispute, the parties submit to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts of Singapore. The substantive law of Switzerland shall apply.”

See ICC Academy for further standard formulations.^[1]

Current Trends and Data

- Over 90% of cross-border commercial contracts now include express choice of law provisions.^{[13][14]}
- Parties are increasingly selecting the law of neutral, business-friendly jurisdictions (e.g., English law, New York law) to gain certainty and efficiency in enforcement.
- Digital transactions and global supply chains are driving demand for harmonized or hybrid legal models, including the use of non-state rules (UNIDROIT Principles, CISG) where flexibility is needed.^{[1][15]}

Graph: Global Usage of Choice of Law Clauses in Cross-Border Contracts (2021–2025)

[image:2]

Caption: Adoption rates of choice of law clauses in international agreements by sector and year.

CONCLUSION

Choice of law clauses are foundational in multi-jurisdictional contracts, shielding parties from uncertainty, procedural pitfalls, and enforcement risks. Thoughtful drafting tailored to the transaction’s complexity and international context is essential. The growing harmonization of international principles and judicial attitudes further strengthens the importance of clear, well-negotiated choice of law agreements in global commerce.

Figures & Visuals

- Graph: Factors Influencing Choice of Law Selection[image:1]
- Graph: Usage of Choice of Law Clauses Globally[image:2]

[image:1]

[image:2]

(Visuals above provide insight into current considerations and adoption patterns in multi-jurisdictional contract practice.)

REFERENCES

1. <https://academy.iccwbo.org/international-trade/article/understanding-and-negotiating-key-clauses-in-international-contracts/>
2. <https://www.cobrief.app/resources/legal-glossary/choice-of-law-overview-definition-and-example/>
3. <https://www.spellbook.legal/clauses/choice-of-law>
4. <https://www.drishtijudiciary.com/to-the-point/public-international-law/jurisdiction-and-choice-of-law-clauses-in-international-contracts>
5. <https://www.termsfeed.com/blog/choice-law-cross-border-operations/>
6. <https://www.hcch.net/en/instruments/conventions/full-text/>
7. <https://academic.oup.com/book/57728/chapter/470394750>
8. <https://blog.ipleaders.in/choice-laws-international-contracts-indian-jurisprudence/>
9. <https://www.pinsentmasons.com/out-law/guides/jurisdiction-and-choice-of-law-clauses-in-international-contracts>
10. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Conflict_of_laws
11. <https://www.applebyglobal.com/publications/choices-of-law-jurisdiction-must-be-clear/>
12. <https://www.mayerbrown.com/-/media/files/perspectives-events/publications/2018/02/jurisdiction-clauses-and-multiple-contracts--will/files/get-the-full-update/fileattachment/180207-asi-corpsec.pdf>
13. <https://digitalcommons.law.uga.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=2530&context=gjicl>
14. <https://www.wilmerhale.com/en/insights/publications/20211217-choice-of-law-agreements-in-international-contracts>
15. <https://www.unidroit.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/Unidroit-Principles-2016-English-bl.pdf>